

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Cts 158-12 (1)

Preserve

1918.

(Courts)

File No. 37-18

Serial Nos. 164

Later references.

Cts. 17-20 (6, 10)

J. Dep. No. 54-21.

Cts 176-32 (1, 2, 5)

4 10-38 (1, 78)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. *Inet*

Part B for *June 19*

SUBJECT.

*Reduction of the period of probation
for Annisiffs.*

Sl 4) To,

*The Registrar. Chief court.
Comptroller*

Cts 927315-16 d) 5-6-19

*directs the reduction of the period of
probation in the case of recruits to the
Annisiffs' from 6 to one year*

Signature
5/7/19.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Causts*)

NOTES.

File No. *37-16*

FILE HEADING.

Reduction of the period of probation for munsiffs

From

*The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore*No. *R.O.C 157*Dated *26-7-18*Received *30-7-18*Diary No. *1903*

Enclos. - Spare Copies. -

Serial No. *1*

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Now that a Competitive Examⁿ has been instituted for the selection of munsiffs, ^{states that} the period of 2 years' probation is too long & may be reduced to six months which is quite sufficient for testing the character & behaviour of the probationers on the bench.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Do.
~~By~~ *The* Council Section for putting the file relating to the revision of classification of munsiffs ordered in Jan'y 1913. The file is required to find out the views, if any, expressed in regard to the probationary period for munsiffs of the last class.

K.V.

NOTES—First Sheet

no 132

Conf. Coll. retained
in Const Secy.
26/5/19Serial
No.

2)

Confidential

The Council collection is herewith sent in

a sealed cover. It may be opened by the Assistant Secretary and the information required taken. It may be returned in a sealed cover.

1008
9/18

3) Encl. for orders

862

9/18

9/18

4) I learn that a further communication on the question of the period of probation for all Munsiffs will be forwarded by the Chief Commr. Please submit this file this day week.

cls. note the date.

88
15/18

Result as ordered.

10/9/18

4) The Chief Commr recommend that the period of probation of 2 years which is now prescribed for Munsiffs may be reduced to 6 months in the case of those selected by competitive exam on the grounds that the existing period is too long and that the examination is as efficient test of general intelligence, legal equipment and knowledge of practical details.

5) In Madras, where District Munsiffs are generally ^{selected} appointed from among members of the bar of some years' standing ^(5 to 8 years) and are appointed to act in vacancies arising over from time to time.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C5 File No. 37 of 1918

Serial
No.

to the District Judge or the Chief Court will be very few and even these appeals may not be reached and decided for a court appreciation of his ^{judicial} work. The period of two years seems necessary therefore for a proper appreciation of a Mansiff's work before he can be confirmed.

6. The question of giving a higher pay, namely that of the last grade (Rs. 200) after a period of 6 months may be viewed with favour. This may be kept separate from that of confirmation.

7. Then there are the cases of the late officers Mr. Venkataranga, Dyugga, Mr. Ramachandra Sastri who have been exempted, the Judicial Probationers have to be considered. They have been acting as Mansiff Deputy Registrar for long time. If in this case their period of probation is fixed at 2 years, then they will have to rank below the promoted men. This may be unjust in view of the fact that they did not sit for the examination on account of the exemption. It seems therefore necessary to consider carefully what they would propose in regard to these cases.

8. Submitted for orders.

12/9

First Member

9

9. I have also been
in view of the fact - also

13/9

13/9

G. R. S. III-2]

**General and Revenue
Secretariat.**

45. File No.

37 of 1918.

Serial
No.

10) There is much force in the considerations pointed out in the Asst Secy's note.

As suggested by him the Chief Comd-mey. be consulted not only as regards the exempted men but also on the practice in Madras &c.

18th member

esg

1679/18

17) I agree. The ch. ch. ⁸ is
deposited 6 from Ant. with this view

cccc

17/9/81

(3) Draft sent.

$$\frac{867}{1819}$$

1879

chiefly

14) In appunal

٤٨

2078

15) Issue

for

поэтому

4269

2 To, The Registrar, Chief Court.

Acc. 6574 of 28-9-18

Requests to favour Govt. with further views of the Chief Court on the question taking into consideration the case of the exempted persons and the practice in the neighboring Presidencies.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Sus A

20/9

Serial
No.

From

3

The Recd. Lett.

Recd 15-7 27-1-19

Forward the ^{further} ~~opinion~~ of the Chief Court
re the probation of Messrs

Submitted

80
31/1/19
Mr
12

16) It is stated that a period of probation is prescribed in Madras & Bombay & that the minimum salary of the permanent incumbent is given during the period of probation. The Chief Courts are of opinion that if the same course is followed in Mysore also as regards the minimum salary, there will be no need to shorten the period here. They however recommend that the period may be fixed uniformly at one year for all the officers.

17) As regards forming a correct appreciation of the judicial work of the officers, the Chief Courts are of opinion that they can judge the efficiency of each probationer by examining his judgments in a dozen cases or by the confidential opinion of the District Judge under whom the probationer is working.

18) The Chief Courts have also forwarded a list

Serial
No.

on probation
of the names of Officers ~~to be~~ in the
order of Seniority ~~to be~~ with the dates
on which they will be confirmed, if
the uniform period of one year's pro-
bation is accepted by Govt.

19) All things taken into consideration
it does not seem to be desirable to
reduce the period of probation. The
fresh proposal of the Chief Court to
fix a uniform period of one year
may perhaps be deferred for the present.
As recommended by the Chief Court the
minimum salary may be given to the
officers during the period of probation.

D. M.
5/2.

Chief Secy

20) The proposals of the Chief
Court to reduce the period of probation
to one year and to grant the minimum
salary - Rs 200 instead of 150 Rs - to the
men during the period of probation may
be approved. A question may arise as
to whether this period of probation will
count for the next triennial increment to
250 Rs. It may be definitely ruled that it
will not so count.

21) Full Secy will be consulted
after Member records his opinions.

1st member

WD A 1769-GPB-60,000-20-3-18-S.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

477

20.2.19

22) This is not put forward
as an addl. but as
an alternative proposal.

23) This question will not arise
if the salary during probation
is left at Rs 150 as at
present.

881
19/2/19


Serial
No.

26) No question of increasing the minimum salary ^{of recruits to the Dep't service} arises under the proposal to ~~reduce~~ shorten the period of probation. It is only in the case of the present length ^{of 2 years} period being retained that the Chief Comr suggest an increase to the minimum salary. On this point therefore it is unnecessary to take financial opinion.

25) As regards the substantive proposal to reduce the period of probation for all ^{recruits} ~~recruits~~ from two ~~years~~ to one; cogent reasons have been assigned in the letter under disposal, — reasons (I may perhaps add) which I have fully considered and adopted while in Chief Comr.

26) The statement in the Dep't letter shows the order of ranks of officers shows that no supercession will be caused by the adoption of the one year limit of probation.

27) The proposal may in the circumstances be sanctioned.


22.2-

24/22/

28) Manuscript ok
Diaphanous? Dm.
WD A 1789-GPB-60,000-20-3-13-S. 22/

Serial
No.

Office
this should have been
referred as urgent.
D. M.
6/3

not need rich members
order in para 24.

Subd.
All munsiffs will have to be given
Rs. 200 after one year.
D. M.

26 2058-61. 54p.m.
2/2/19

29) Encls. with a draft, as per order

86.

1/3

M.

1/3

2nd Secy)

30) For favour of opinion

D. M.
6/3

31)

Wazir: Same as Subd.

as ordered. Same to be

as schedule matter under C. B. II.

In order:

C. B. II Secy

D. M.

32)

Please consult H. Secy

2/3

33)

Forwarded for favour of opinion

2nd Secy)

M. K. S. Wazir

14/2/19

34)

The proposal to reduce the period
of probation of Munsiffs from 2 to 1 year
may be sanctioned.

M.
21/3/19

Chief Secy)

35)

Encls. for orders with the day

18

2/3

86.

2/3

M.

2/3

Chief Secy)

D. M.

26/3

36)

1st members)

as per

34/3/19

Serial
No.

37) It is unnecessary to fix beforehand the date of confirmation, as confirmation is dependent on approved demands through the period of probation.

38) I have revised the draft accs. (clear copy to be placed in the file.)

39) As the proposed reduction of the period of probation is a general one, ensuring for the benefit of all persons hitherto ever recruited, the question of holding or putting off competitive exam^s does not affect it in one way or the other.


40) This matter may, if the decision appears ^{to be} disposed of by circulation. ^{d in the clear of app. of p.} 13-4-19

Attend to this to-day before

Sub^d to Dewang
H. S. 14

clean copy sent up.

8/4
5/4



Dewang

41) Yes. Agree

18/4

4/4/19

4/4

P. C.

swd for order

10/4
9/4

C.S. Circulate.

being sent
19/4/19

42) I agree

Recd
16. 4. 19

4193
21.4.19

43) I am sending to the Dewang what leads to probationing. ^{10/4}
Munir has to pass before confirmation. ^{20/4}

(For Disposal by Circulation)

No. C. 753

...12-6...191...9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

Reduction of the period of probation to one year in the case of recruits to the Munsiff's grade.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order.

12th April 1919

Chief Secretary to Government.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

Seen Kraw
8.5.12.

First Member of Council.

Opinion recorded.

-Second Member of Council.

opinion recorded
Reel
16. 6. 19

Temporary Member of Council. GPB-1,000-11-5-17

5-17 - Seen by Temporary Member.
Opinion recorded by Temporary Member.

Can't see
Mean but in 1958
D. in 1958
13/5/58

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C/S File No. 37

of 1918.

Serial
No.

44) To the Asst. Secy. Protection Branch
for furnishing the information called for
by the Temporary Member of Council.

Rules also to be put up

D. M.
21/6

Submitted.

45) The tests to be passed are—

(i) Revenue & Registration Examⁿ; ^{extract for} ~~agitate~~ note
Local Service Examⁿ rules put up.

(ii) Examination in Kannada (in the case
of those who have not taken it as their
optional language); Please see G.O.
no. 71382-3-cts 201-14-2 of 6.10.15

Am.
29/446) Temp^y MemberSubd.
D. M.
20/6

47)

2 Apr.

M. M.

4/5/14

48) Phases put up at 7.10.15 & 10.5.14.
(with Chief Secy's order)

D. M.
13/5

49) D. F. R. & D. S. R. Subd.

86

14/5- I Member

Am.
14/5

50)

Please

16/5

D. F. R. Subd. for at 10.5.14

15-27
15-57

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 37

of 191 8

Serial
No.

51)

D. S. N. Subtd

Dewan

copy
17/5
P. m.
1915

U

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 20 1919
Reg. No. 7296

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

REDUCTION OF THE PERIOD OF PROBATION FOR RECRUITS TO THE
MUNSIFFS' GRADE.

P. U. D.
I & II

The Judges of the Chief Court have suggested the reduction of the period of probation in the case of all recruits to the Munsiffs' grade from two years to one year. They point out that where recruitment is made as the result of a special competitive examination, success thereat is itself a fairly sufficient indication of fitness which only requires to be supplemented by a few months' practical experience and training; that even in the case of persons nominated on special grounds or exempted from appearing for the competitive examination, there must have been ^{present} prima facie satisfactory reasons in their favour; and that the period may in the circumstances be fixed uniformly at one year for all.

2. As the proposed reduction of the period of probation is thus a general one, ensuring for the benefit of all persons however recruited, the question of holding or dispensing with competitive examinations does not, obviously, affect it one way or the other.


Page 3 of P. U. D. II

3. The list given in the Registrar's letter, showing the order of names of the Officers eligible for confirmation, shows that no supersessions will be caused by the adoption of the one year limit of probation in their case.

Page 10 & 11 of
Minutes

4. The Members of Council are agreeable to the proposal. The draft order sanctioning the recommendation of the Chief Court may be graciously approved.

16th May 1919.


MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the First Member of Council on the subject of reduction of the period of probation to one year in the case of recruits to the Munsiff's grade, for His Highness gracious approval.

Chung
22-5-17

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Proposal to reduce the period of
probation of Munsiffs.

No 390.
22.5.19

1215

Order.

~~KRW~~

24/5/19

Chief secy

12

24/5/19

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

As File No.

27 of 191 Y.

Serial
No.

(*) ,

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

83. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

84. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of:
(*)

His Highness' orders ~~before~~^{under} issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure).

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government,
.....Department.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL

TEMPORARY MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

NOTE.--Contn. sheet

Serial
No.

4

20.

The Regr. chief Court-
" Comptroller who
G. 27315-16 D. 5-6-191
Directs the reduction
of the period of probate
in the case of recruits to
the Munsiff's grade to
one year.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 1724So. No. 827315-16 of S. 6. 10
CS 37184re reduction of the period of
probation of Minisips from
two years to one year.④ Why not Pres Table?
No. A.R.To the Suplt of the
Protection Branch. for
perusal of the U.S. note
& for favour reply.
JMK

10.6.1919.

The Asst Secy advised
that the SO need not be
printed & treated as a
standing order, as ^{para} ~~para~~
it refers to specific cases.Am. The L. O. of 29. ¹⁶ May 19
was shown to me only
to-day.
This order may be placed
at the Pres Table also
10.10.19.The Asst Secy's order has been
given effect to.N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form.To the Suplt, E.A. Branch, for
information and return of this Routine note.Seen
Inclusive
Amicible
B.R.T.
10.10.19A.R.
10/6Filed to the Under Secy
for for favour of perusal.
10.6.1919.noted
at

Gl. 1]

BSM Forw. Audit DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT

Bangalore, dated 11th June 1919.

Memo No. 11.

Govt. Order No: G. 27315-16/15.37-18-4 directing
that the period of probation in the case of
recruits to the Munsiffs' Grade be reduced from
2 to one year may kindly be communicated
through the Financial Department as ~~it~~ it
involves extra cost to Govt.

To

The under-Secy. to
Govt.
Fin. Branch, G. R. S.
Bangalore.

Sholinga Rao
Offg. Asst. Comptroller,
Mysore Government.

Confidential Branch may be ~~asked~~
requested to put up the file with two
 spare copies of the order quoted in
the memo. Comptroller's memo, for
communication through this Secy.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form.

14/6

To the Supdt. *Chis Secy*

The file and two signed
copies are put up. This
may be sent to the Fin. Secy.

23/6/19
24/6/19

To the Supdt, Fin. Secy

12/6
was his not
confirmed
for *BSM* Please
20/6
14/6
24/6

24/6
25/6
18/6

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C5 File No. 37 of 1918.

Serial
No.

22957-18

J/D has agreed. — Pall: 9
 Draft of air. communicating the order
 Submitted

Mul
 27.6.18
 ms

K. S. S. S.

Communicated
 28/6

22957-18
 28/6

5- JUL 1918

R.O.C. No: 157/18-19.

REVISED
29 JUL 1918
Selli
Ch
for

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore, dated the 26th July 1918.

1903.

From

10090

N.T.Gopaliengar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

S i r,

I am directed to state that one of the three candidates who was selected in the recent competitive examination for the posts of Munsiffs has represented that the period of probation required in the case of all persons appointed as Munsiffs may be dispensed with in his case and that he may be started on a pay of Rs 200/- in view of the great sacrifice he as a practising Advocate has to make in accepting the post of a Munsiff.

The Judges have considered the representation and think that while a probation of two years may have been necessary under the method of recruitment formerly in force under which there was not sufficient guarantee that the persons selected by nomination would be qualified in all respects, now that a competitive examination has been instituted the object of which is to test both general intelligence, legal equipment and knowledge of practical details on the part of candidates, the period in question is too long and may be reduced to six months in a more or less continuous vacancy

which

SECRETARIAT
30 JUL 1918

CSG-45
msg

which is quite sufficient for testing the character and behaviour of the probationer on the bench.

I am therefore to request that the Government may be pleased to reduce the period of probation as suggested above in the case of the candidates selected now or to be hereafter selected in the competitive examination for Mun-siffs' posts.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,



R E G I S T R A R.



HCC.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section - *Ch*

File No. *37-18*

Serial No. *2*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

()
the 191

No. *96574*

Date of issue *28-9-18*

To

The Registrar, Chief Court

Signed by *Mr J. E. Jeyar*

Sir,

With reference to your letter R.O.C. No. 157/18-19 of 26-7-18 recommending that the period of probation of 2 years for munsiffs may be reduced to 6 months in the case of those selected by competitive examination, I am directed to state with your attention to

over.

28/9

What is understood to be

the practice in Madras
where the ~~2nd~~ ^{1st} messiffs
~~are~~ generally selected from
~~members of the bar of some~~
~~years standing~~ and first
appointed to act in vacancies
as they occur from time
to time: ~~As permanent vacancies~~ ^{appointments}
~~fall vacant, they are posted to permanent~~
~~to such vacancies on a~~ ^{vacancies}
probation of two years.

In Bombay also the ~~It is understood that a~~
subordinate judges (corresponding ^{similar period of probation} is required in Bombay
to our messiffs) are required ^{also.}
to serve for 2 years on probation
before being confirmed.

List of enclosures.

I am ~~further directed~~
to ^{State} point out that ^{being to line of no competitive exam in the P. S. Messiffs, as they}
in ~~the examination~~ ^{period of} ~~the~~ ^{Six} ~~month~~ ^{probationary}
~~period~~ ^{is the period}.

Of ~~probation~~, appeals
to the 2nd Judge or The Chief

Copied by

Exam. by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

~~Const will be too few for~~
~~to enable the appellate judges to~~
~~get form~~
~~a correct appreciation of~~
~~the judicial work of the~~
~~Munsiff.~~

The cases of Mr.
Venkataranga Desai, and
Mr. Ramachandra Sastri
Mr. C. Subramanya Desai
and the (Judicial proba-
tioners) have to be consider-
ed. The former 2 officers
^{who} They were exempted from
sitting for the ^{have also been} competitive
examination. If the
period of probation
is fixed in their case
at 2 years, they will
have to rank below
the 3 successful candi-
dates in the competitive
examination. It is not

clear whether this is intended
or if so whether it will not
cause any difficulty.

I am therefore
to request you to
favour Govt. with
^{further} the views of the

Chief Court ^{on the question of reduction of the period of probation} ~~regarding~~ taking into consideration the
the cases of the ~~exempted~~ ^{use of the exempt to persons} ~~the~~ ^{practise in the neighbourhood}
men and the ~~others~~ ^{report} ~~the~~ ^{probation} ~~the~~ ^{condemned}
points raised above.

I have etc.

Yours
for Chief Secy.

Ser
St.
etc.

R.O.C.No. 157/1918-19.

SEN. 2 DSO. Secy.
Ch Secy.
29 JAN 1919

56767

DIA. 100.758
12-12
Court
Chief Court of Mysore, 18
Bangalore, dated the 25th January 1919.

27

From

G. Sreenivasa Iyer, Esq., B.A., M.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

S i r,

With reference to your letter No. G.6574/Cts.37-18-2 dated the 28th September 1918 asking for further views of the Chief Court on the question of reduction of the period of probation of Munsiffs, I am directed to state that though a period of probation is prescribed in Madras for District - Munsiffs and in Bombay for officers of that grade styled Sub-ordinate Judges, Second Class, their minimum salary is the same as that of the permanent incumbent during the period they are on probation or are acting temporarily, and if the same course is followed in Mysore there will be no need to shorten the period here. The object with which a period of probation has been prescribed in the neighbouring Presidencies of British India appears to be chiefly with a view to enable them to pass any tests which are required to be passed as a condition of confirmation. In Mysore, recruitment to the Munsiffs' grade is generally made as the result of a Special Competitive Examination and their success at the Examination is itself a

fairly

27

fairly sufficient indication of fitness, which only requires to be supplemented by a few months' practical experience and training either in charge of Munsiffs' Courts for short periods of acting vacancies or in a superior court to which they may be attached with a view to their learning work which will help - them later in their work as Munsiffs. This consideration does not perhaps apply to the same extent to those who are selected otherwise than as the result of a Competitive Examination; but even in their case there seems no reason to fix the probation at so long a period as two years. Considering that even in the case of persons nominated on special grounds or exempted from appearing for the Competitive Examination, there must have been prima facie satisfactory reasons in their favour, I am directed to state that the period may be fixed uniformly at one year for all.

As regards forming a correct appreciation of the judicial work of the officers concerned, I am to state that the Chief Court can easily arrange to send for copies of judgments passed by each probationer in a dozen cases, and also obtain confidentially the opinion of the District Judge under whom he may be working.

Should the proposal for a uniform period of one year's probation be acceptable to the Government, I am to add that the following will be the order in which the various officers on probation will obtain their confirmation if there are permanent vacancies on those dates, and that it will cause no supersessions.

Name.

27.

Name.	Date of commencement of probation.	Date of confirmation.
1. Mr.S.Venkataranga Iyengar.	15 - 5 - 1917	15 - 5 - 1918
2. Mr.C.Subramanya Iyer.	12 -10 - 1917	12 -10 - 1918
3. Mr.S.Ramachandra Sastry.	27 - 5 - 1918	27 - 5 - 1919
4. Mr.H.Nanjundayya.	30 -10 - 1918	30 -10 - 1919
5. Mr.A.Sundara Raja Rao.	30 -10 - 1918	30 -10 - 1919
6. Mr.G.Krishna Murti.	20 -12 - 1918	20 -12 - 1919.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,


R E G I S T R A R.

12

Extract from the Local Service Examination Rules

No person who has not passed the examinations specified below will be eligible for the appointments noted opposite to them.

Examinations

Revenue (Higher) x
x x Registrars

Appointments

x x
x Messengers

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore. 32

CS 37-18-4

827315-16
Read:-

87569

G.O. No. J.1869-70/Cts.158-12-1 -

dated 6th January 1913 directing, among other things, that an officer appointed as Munsiff shall be on probation for 2 years and draw Rs. 150/- per mensem during that period.


2. Correspondence ending with letter R.O.C. No. 157 dated 25/27th January 1919 from the Registrar, Chief Court, stating that the period of probation may be fixed uniformly at one year for all the recruits to the Munsiffs' grade selected either by competitive examination or nomination.

Order No.

Dated Bangalore, 191

Name.	Date of commencement of probation.	Government are pleased to approve of the recommendation of the Chief Court
1. Mr. S. Venkataranga Iyengar.	15-5-1917.	contained in the Registrar's letter read
2. " C. Subramanya Iyer.	12-10-1917.	above and to direct that the period of
3. " S. Ramachandra Sastry.	27-5-1918.	probation in the case of recruits to the
4. " H. Nanjundayya	30-10-1918.	Munsiffs' grade be reduced to one year.
5. " A. Sundara Raja Rao.	30-10-1918.	
6. " G. Krishna Murti-	20-12-1918.	2. Government are also pleased to -

direct that the marginally noted officers who are on probation shall be eligible for confirmation in the order named after the expiry of the period of probation as now approved


14578